FISCHER,

Defendants.

SECTION I

Excessive Force

By Plaintiff Loan Nguyen on behalf of Lucky Phounsy against Defendant Richard Fischer

A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Richard Fischer used excessive force on Lucky Phounsy in violation of the Fourth Amendment?

If you answered "Yes" to question A, proceed to questions B and C. If you answered "No" to question A, proceed to Section II below.

B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Richard Fischer's excessive force was a proximate cause of injury or death to Lucky Phounsy?

If you answered "Yes" to questions A and B, you will determine damages later in Section VI.

Proceed to question C.

C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Richard Fischer's excessive force was malicious, oppressive, or done in reckless disregard of Lucky Phounsy's right to be free from excessive force?

Proceed to Section II below.

SECTION II

Failure to Train

By Plaintiff Loan Nguyen on behalf of Lucky Phounsy Against Defendant County of San Diego

A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that one or more San Diego County Sheriff's deputies violated Lucky Phounsy's constitutional rights to be free from excessive force:

If you answered "Yes" to question A, proceed to questions B and C. If you answered "No" to question A, proceed to Section III below.

B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation of Lucky Phounsy's constitutional rights was a foreseeable result of a failure to train by the County of San Diego to avoid violating a constitutional right to be free from excessive force?

Yes No_

If you answered "Yes" to question B, proceed to question C. If you answered "No" to question B proceed to Section III below.

C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the County's failure to train caused injury to Lucky Phounsy?

Yes 🗹 No _

If you answered "Yes" to question C, you will determine damages later in Section VI. Proceed to Section III below.

1	SECTION III
2	Interference with Civil Rights (Bane Act)
3	By Plaintiff Loan Nguyen on behalf of Lucky Phounsy Against Defendant Richard
4	Fischer
5	A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Richard Fischer
6	acted violently against Lucky Phounsy?
7	Yes _ No _
8	
9	Proceed to question B.
10	
11	B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Richard Fische
12	intended to deprive Lucky Phounsy of his right to be free from excessive force or acted in
13	reckless disregard of Lucky's Phounsy's right to be free from excessive force?
14	Yes V No
15	
16	If you answered "Yes" to question A or question B, proceed to question C. If
17	you answered "No" to both question A and question B, proceed to Section IV
18	below.
19	C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Richard Fischer's
20	conduct was a substantial factor in causing harm to Lucky Phounsy?
21	Yes No _
22	
23	If you answered "Yes" to questions A, B and C, proceed to question D.
24	
25	D. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant Richard Fischer's
26	conduct was malicious or oppressive?
27	Yes V No _
28	Proceed to Section IV.

SECTION IV 1 **Negligence** 2 By Plaintiff Loan Nguyen on behalf of Lucky Phounsy Against County of San 3 Diego and Richard Fischer 4 5 Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that one or more San Diego County 6 Sheriff's deputies were negligent on April 13, 2015? 7 Yes V No 8 If yes, specify name(s): Jischer, Collins, Ralph, Allen 9 Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the negligence of one or more 10 San Diego County Sheriff's deputies on April 13, 2015 was a substantial factor in causing 11 harm to Lucky Phounsy? 12 Yes V No 13 14 Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Lucky Phounsy was negligent? C. 15 Yes No 16 17 Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Lucky Phounsy's negligence 18 D. was a substantial factor in causing his harm? 19 Yes No V 20 21 We assign the following percentage of responsibility for negligence to the E. 22 following individuals: 23

Richard Fischer: 20 %

Other Sheriff's deputies: 70 % (Specify Name(s): Collins, Aller, Ralph,

Lucky Phounsy: 9 %

Other: 10 9 % (Specify Name(s): PAFF · Poy ntuz Hacket)

Proceed to Section V.

24

25

26

27

28

SECTION V

Wrongful Death

By Plaintiffs K.J.P., K.P.P, and Loan Nguyen Against Defendant County of San Diego and Defendant Richard Fischer

A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Lucky Phounsy's death was caused by a violation of one or more of his constitutional or civil rights?

Yes / No _

B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Lucky Phounsy's death was caused by the negligence of one or more San Diego County Sheriff's deputies on April 13, 2015?

Yes / No_

If you answered "Yes," to either question A or B, you will determine damages in Section VI.

Proceed to Section VI.

SECTION VI

Damages

- A. If you found for the Plaintiff Loan Nguyen (on behalf of Lucky Phounsy) for excessive force (Section I) and/or failure to train (Section II), we the jury determine damages, by a preponderance of the evidence, as follows:
- 1. Mental, physical, and emotional pain and suffering experienced by Lucky Phounsy up to the time he was placed in the ambulance: \$ 2 milion
- 2. Mental, physical, and emotional pain and suffering experienced by Lucky Phounsy from ambulance until the time of his death:
- B. If you found Lucky Phounsy's death was a result of negligence and/or the violation of Lucky Phounsy's constitutional rights, we determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, the following wrongful death damages for Plaintiffs K.J.P., K.P.P., and Loan Nguyen:

Non-economic damages:

Loss of love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance:

April 20, 2015 through present: \$\frac{20 \ million}{\text{future:}}\$

C. If you found for the Plaintiff Loan Nguyen (on behalf of Lucky Phounsy) for excessive force (Section I (A)) but found no causation (Section I (B)), do you award nominal damages?

This is the end of special verdict form. The Presiding Juror should sign and date below, then notify the bailiff that you have reached a verdict. Dated: March 15, 2022 San Diego, CA Signed: PRESIDING JUROR